

**ENTERED**

June 24, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
VICTORIA DIVISION

SHAYNE BOLLMAN, §  
§  
Plaintiff, §  
§  
VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:24-CV-00019  
§  
WALMART INC., §  
§  
Defendant. §

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

On June 5, 2025, United States Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock issued his “Memorandum and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge” (D.E. 21), recommending that the Court grant Defendant Walmart Inc’s motion for summary judgment. The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 21), and all other relevant

documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, Defendant Walmart Inc.'s motion for summary judgment (D.E. 17) is **GRANTED** and this action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

**ORDERED** on June 24, 2025.

  
NELVA GONZALES RAMOS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE